

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,547	GETAUTIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher RoDee	1756	

**All Participants:**

(1) Christopher RoDee.

(2) Peter Dardi.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 June 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Potential duplicate claim objection.*

Claims discussed:

12, 13, 21, 22

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner contacted counsel and noted that new claims 21 and 22 appeared to be duplicates of originally filed claims 12 and 13. Counsel agreed to cancelation of these new claims in order to resolve this issue.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)